



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/565,097

01/18/2006

Marijke De Meyer

505217

7358

53609

7590

02/13/2009

REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN P.C.  
2215 PERRYGREEN WAY  
ROCKFORD, IL 61107

EXAMINER

WALTERS JR, ROBERT S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1792

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/13/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

RockMail@reinhartlaw.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/565,097	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DE MEYER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ROBERT S. WALTERS JR	<b>Art Unit</b> 1792	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ROBERT S. WALTERS JR. (3) Sun Y. Pae.

(2) Michael Barr. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 13, 25 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Goedicke and Horzenberger.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The rejection of claims 13, 25 and 26 over the combination of Goedicke and Horzenberger was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792
--	--